

Public Meeting Notice

Region 9 – Upper Colorado Regional Flood Planning Group

May 7, 2026

10:00 AM CST

Notice is hereby given of a regular meeting of the Region 9 – Upper Colorado Regional Flood Planning Group to be held May 7, 2026, at 10:00 AM at the City Hall Annex– Board Room – 1st Floor, 301 W. Beauregard Ave., San Angelo, Texas, for the purpose of considering the following agenda items.

Phone participation is available for public and non-voting representatives by the conference call information:

Call In: (424) 672-7540

Passcode / ID: 910 729 676#

The Meeting Agenda and the Agenda Packet are posted online at: <https://www.uppercoloradoflood.org>

A recording of the meeting will be available to the public in accordance with the Open Meetings Act upon written request.

Members of the public may also submit Public Comment on agenda items by sending their written comments via email to astrube@crmwd.org or scottm@ucratx.org by noon May 6, 2026. The subject line must be in the following format: "Public Comment, [item number] – May 7, 2026." All emails must include your name and address. Please note all Public Comment emails relevant to posted agenda items received by the deadline will be published as part of the agenda packet prior to the meeting and are therefore public record.

Agenda:

1. Call to Order
2. Welcome
3. Public comments – limit 3 minutes per person
4. Approval of minutes from the previous two meetings
5. Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) Update
6. Sponsoring agency update from City of San Angelo
7. Nature-Based Solutions for Flood Resilience Presentation by Technical Consultant and Nature Conservancy
8. Discussion and action on selecting the FMEs to be performed by the RFPG for Task 4C
9. Technical consultant update for Second Cycle
10. Public comments – limit 3 minutes per person
11. Consider date and agenda items for next meeting
12. Adjourn

Additional information may be obtained from:

Allison Strube

astrube@crmwd.org

400 E. 24th Street

Big Spring, Texas 79721

Region 9 Upper Colorado Regional Flood Planning Group

Meeting Minutes

December 10, 2025

1. Call to Order and Establishment of a Quorum

The December 10, 2025 meeting of the Region 9 Upper Colorado Regional Flood Planning Group (RFPG) was called to order by the Chair. Notice of the meeting was posted in accordance with the Texas Open Meetings Act. A quorum of voting members was established.

Roll Call / Attendance

Voting Members Present:

- Kenneth Dierschke
- Shannon McMillan
- Morse Haynes
- Russell Pehl
- Scott McWilliams
- Shane Kelton

Non-Voting Members Present:

- Ben Wilde, Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board (virtual)
- Nick Collins, Texas Water Development Board (virtual)

Others Present:

- Paula Jo Lemonds, HDR Consultant
- Mio Matsumura, HDR Consultant
- Diane Howe, HDR Consultant (virtual)
- Heather Keister, FNI Consultant (virtual)
- Ollie Trager, FNI Consultant (virtual)
- Andrew Howe, Halff Consultant (virtual)
- Samuel Amoako-Atta, Halff Consultant (virtual)
- Susan Roth, Consultant (virtual)
- Scott Rushing, Consultant (virtual)

2. Welcome

The Chair welcomed RFPG members, representatives from the Texas Water Development Board, the sponsoring agency, technical consultants, and members of the public.

3. Public Comment

The Chair opened the floor for public comment. No public comments were received.

4. Approval of Minutes from the Previous Meeting

The RFPG reviewed the minutes from the previous meeting. A motion was made by Kenneth Dierschke and seconded by Scott McWilliams to approve the minutes as presented. The motion carried unanimously.

5. Texas Water Development Board Update

A representative of the Texas Water Development Board provided an update on statewide flood planning activities, including guidance related to deliverables, coordination expectations, and scheduling considerations for the second cycle of regional flood planning.

6. Sponsoring Agency Update – City of San Angelo

The City of San Angelo, as sponsoring agency, provided an update on administrative coordination, support for the RFPG, and collaboration with the technical consultants.

7. Task 2 – Flood Hazard Mapping and Public Input

The technical consultant presented a status update on Task 2, Flood Hazard Mapping, including progress to date, data sources, and opportunities for public input.

8. Confirmation of Potential Flood Management Evaluations (FMXs)

The RFPG discussed and confirmed the list of potential Flood Management Evaluations (FMXs) for inclusion in the Technical Memorandum. A motion to confirm the list of FMXs was made by Morse Haynes and seconded by Scott McWilliams. The motion carried unanimously.

9. Adoption of the Technical Memorandum

A motion was made by Scott McWilliams and seconded by Kenneth Dierschke to adopt the Technical Memorandum and authorized HDR and the City of San Angelo to make non-substantive edits for submission to the Texas Water Development Board by January 7, 2026. The motion carried unanimously.

10. Technical Consultant Update – Second Planning Cycle

The technical consultant provided an overview of anticipated activities for the second planning cycle.

11. Definition of “Rural Applicant”

The RFPG discussed and confirmed the definition of “Rural Applicant.” The motion was made by Scott McWilliams and seconded by Kenneth Dierschke. The motion carried unanimously.

12. Public Comment

The Chair opened the floor for public comment. No public comments were received.

13. Next Meeting

The RFPG discussed potential dates and agenda items for the next meeting. The next meeting will be held on Thursday, February 26th at 10:00 am at 301 West Beaugard, San Angelo.

14. Adjourn

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

APPROVED BY:

Chair, Region 9 Upper Colorado RFPG

Date: _____

Secretary, Region 9 Upper Colorado RFPG

Date: _____

Region 9 Upper Colorado Regional Flood Planning Group

Meeting Minutes

March 4, 2026

1. Call to Order and Establishment of a Quorum

The March 4, 2026 meeting of the Region 9 Upper Colorado Regional Flood Planning Group (RFPG) was called to order by the Chair at 10:01am. Notice of the meeting was posted in accordance with the Texas Open Meetings Act. A quorum of voting members was established.

Roll Call / Attendance

Voting Members Present:

- Kenneth Dierschke
- Rick Bacon
- Shannon McMillan
- Russell Pehl
- Scott McWilliams
- Allison Strube
- Shane Kelton

Non-Voting Members Present:

- John McEachern, Texas Parks and Wildlife Department
- Belle Gonzalez, Texas Water Development Board
- Sarah Magana, Texas Water Development Board

Others Present:

- Patrick Frerich, City of San Angelo
- Jake Burton, City of San Angelo
- JW Balch, FNI Consultant
- Paula Jo Lemonds, HDR Consultant
- Mio Matsumura, HDR Consultant
- Diane Howe, HDR Consultant
- Kevin Wessels, TWDB (virtual)
- Heather Keister, FNI Consultant (virtual)
- Ollie Trager, FNI Consultant (virtual)
- Claire Barber, FNI Consultant (virtual)
- Andrew Howe, Halff Consultant (virtual)
- Samuel Amoako-Atta, Halff Consultant (virtual)
- Scott Rushing, Consultant (virtual)

2. Welcome

The Chair welcomed RFPG members, representatives from the Texas Water Development Board, the sponsoring agency, technical consultants, and members of the public.

3. Public Comment

The Chair opened the floor for public comment. No public comments were received.

4. Consider nominating and electing RFPG Chair, Vice Chair, Secretary, two members-at-large to serve on the Executive Committee, as applicable, per group bylaws

The RFPG group discussed the current Executive Committee members consisted of Allison Strube (Chair), Shane Kelton (Vice Chair), Scott McWilliams (Secretary), Shannon McMillan (member-at-large), and Rick Bacon (member-at-large). Kenneth Dierschke made the motion to reelect current slate of members to their positions. Rick Bacon made a second. The motion carried unanimously.

5. Approval of Minutes from the Previous Meeting

This item was tabled until the following meeting due to the minutes not being posted in the background material.

6. Texas Water Development Board Update & Proposition 4 Update

Sarah Magana introduced Belle Gonzales to the group. Belle will be the new planner for Region 9 and gave a brief background about herself. Sarah gave an update to Region 9 on Proposition 4 and the legislatively approved funding.

7. Sponsoring Agency Update – City of San Angelo

Shane Kelton with the City of San Angelo, as sponsoring agency, provided an update on administrative coordination, support for the RFPG, and collaboration with the technical consultants.

8. Technical Consultant presentation on Small and Rural Communities with Limited Resources – FME Prioritization Decision Metrics

Diane Howe with HDR gave an update on the Prioritization Decision Metrics. There were some discussions on what defined “community”.

9. Discussion and action confirming the metrics used to identify FMEs for “small and rural communities with limited resources”

Following the presentation on metrics by Diane Howe, a motion to move forward as presented was made by Scott McWilliams and seconded by Shannon McMillian. The motion carried unanimously.

10. Discussion and action on ordered list of FMEs to be performed by the TWDB and RFPG

Diane Howe gave a presentation on the ordered lists of FMEs to be sent to TWDB for additional funding. A motion was made by Rick Bacon and seconded by Scott to move forward with presenting the first two listed items for the March deadline and the remaining seven on the ordered list for the April deadline to TWDB as presented by HDR. This motion included moving the communities within Coke County up on the ordered lists and the County itself moving down in the list. The motion carried unanimously.

11. Technical Consultant Update – Second Planning Cycle

Mio Matsumura gave an update on schedule and provided an overview of anticipated activities for the second planning cycle.

12. Public Comment

The Chair opened the floor for public comment. No public comments were received.

13. Next Meeting

The RFPG discussed potential dates and agenda items for the next meeting. The next meeting will be held tentatively in May at 301 West Beauregard, San Angelo. The Chair will send out a poll for the group’s availability.

14. Adjourn

There being no further business. Rick Bacon made a motion to adjourn. Shake Kelton made the second. The motion carried unanimously. The meeting was adjourned at 11:02am.

APPROVED BY:

Chair, Region 9 Upper Colorado RFPG

Date: _____

Secretary, Region 9 Upper Colorado RFPG

Date: _____



Upper Colorado Regional Flood Planning Group Meeting



May 7, 2026



Nature-Based Solutions for Flood Resilience

Region 9 Upper Colorado Regional Flood Planning Group

Texas Water Development Board

Freese and Nichols, Inc.

The Nature Conservancy

Agenda Item No. 7



Agenda

- Purpose of the NBS for Flood Resilience Guidance Manual
- Definition and Examples of NBS for Flood Resilience
- Publishing Schedule
- Guidance Manual Content
- How to Promote NBS through Regional Flood Planning
- Key Takeaways



NBS in the State Flood Plan



FMP Type	Recommended FMP Count
Low Water Crossing or Bridge Improvement	94
Infrastructure	148
Regional Detention Ponds	73
Regional Channel Improvements	79
Storm Drain Improvements	47
Dam Improvements, Maintenance, and Repair	5
Flood Walls and Levees	4
Coastal Protections	1
Nature-Based Solutions	8
Comprehensive Regional Projects	83
Property or Easement Acquisition	13
Elevation of Individual Structures	4
Flood Readiness and Resilience	53
Other	3
Total	615

Project Goals

01

Synthesize Research & **Guidance** on the use of NBS for Flood Mitigation into a **Single, Statewide Manual** for Texas Communities

02

Provide **Strategies & Tools** to Address Common **Barriers & Challenges**


03

Support The Implementation Of NBS Into The **Regional Flood Planning Process & Community-Driven Efforts**




Intended Users

- Local government officials or representatives charged with planning, developing, or managing community infrastructure or assets
 - City Engineers
 - Floodplain Managers
 - Planners
 - Regional Flood Planning Group (RFPG)
- Practitioners
- Developers



City Engineer CIP Planning (Master Drainage Plans/Studies, Preliminary Engineering, etc.)



RFPG & Technical Consultant Teams
Project alternative identification




Floodplain Administrator Example floodplain regulation, polices and incentives for more flood resilient community (e.g. floodplain buffers/setbacks)

Defining Nature-Based Solutions (NBS)

NBS use or imitate natural features and/or processes to increase resilience while providing sustainable benefits to people and the environment.

Co-benefits Examples:

- Water Supply and Drought Mitigation
- Water Quality and Public Health
- Urban Heat Reduction and Air Quality
- Recreation and Social Assets



NBS for Flood Resilience Spectrum



Traditional (Gray)

Hard, gray, engineered structures built to address development and flood risk reduction objectives

Hybrid

Combination of hard engineering solutions incorporated with natural and nature-based features to accomplish flood risk reduction objectives

Natural

Creation, protection, or restoration of natural systems or processes to accomplish flood risk reduction objectives

Adapted from the International Guidelines on Natural and Nature Based Features for Flood Risk Management

NBS for Flood Resilience Examples

Rain Garden



Photo Courtesy of Texas Tech Public Media

Playa Lake Restoration



Photo Courtesy of TWDB

Structural NBS for Flood Resilience



Watershed

- Stream Restoration
- Floodplain Restoration
- Levee Setback
- Wetland Restoration
- Playa Lake Restoration



Neighborhood

- Bioretention
- Vegetated Swale
- Permeable Pavement
- Vegetative Filter Strips
- Wet Ponds
- Constructed Wetlands
- Tree Trenches
- Rainwater Harvesting
- Stormwater Parks



Coastal

- Beach Nourishment and Dune Restoration
- Coastal Marsh, Seagrass, and Prairie Restoration
- Natural Breakwaters and Oyster Reefs
- Waterfront Parks

Non-Structural NBS for Flood Resilience

- Property Acquisition and Conservation
- Regulating Development in Floodplains
- Promoting Native Vegetation in Design Criteria

Model Ordinance

Section 01 Purpose

The Model Ordinance to Support Nature Based Solutions is designed to support sustainable development practices and flood risk reduction projects that incorporate nature-based solutions. The purpose of the regulations contained in this Ordinance is to increase resilience of flooding to people and property while providing sustainable benefits to people and the environment within the **Municipality**. These regulations are designed to promote sustainable development and conservation practices to reduce the impact of development of future flood risk. These regulations are written to be included in an existing zoning ordinance. Language that is variable is indicated by **red text** and commentary is indicated by *blue italic text*.

The language developed in this document is for educational purposes only and is not inclusive nor a substitute for any existing regulations. The information in this document is not a substitute for legal advice. Those wishing to incorporate the ideas presented in this document should consult an attorney.

Section 02 Floodplain Preservation

Floodplain Preservation

Floodplains provide storage for, collect sediment deposits of, and dissipate the energy of flood waters. Preserving the hydrologic connection between a watercourse and its floodplain is necessary to protect the nearby infrastructure. Construction activities within the floodplain such as building, roadways, or utilities, can reduce or block the watercourse's floodplain connection.

This Model Floodplain Preservation Ordinance was developed based on City of San Antonio, Texas Code of Ordinances



NBS Guidance Manual Schedule

- **Summer 2026** Draft Guidance Manual for Public Comment
- **Fall 2026** Final Guidance Manual Published
- <https://www.twdb.texas.gov/flood/research/Nature-based-Solutions-2022/index.asp>



INITIATING NBS

PLANNING NBS

IMPLEMENTING NBS

CHAPTERS 1-3

Defining Nature Based Solutions (NBS), Various Types and Overcoming Challenges and Barriers

- 1 Laying the Groundwork for NBS
- 2 Introduce NBS for Flood Resilience
- 3 Embrace Guiding Principles for NBS

CHAPTERS 4-5

Reviewing Regulations, Incentives and Funding Opportunities

- 4 Assess Ordinances, Incentives, and Regulations
- 5 Establish Funding Strategies

CHAPTER 6

Integrate NBS into Planning Processes

- 6.1 Recognize the Role of Planning
- 6.2 Understand the Characteristics of Your Region
- 6.3 Engage Stakeholders

CHAPTER 7

Understand Flood Risk and Identify NBS Opportunities

- 7.1 Understand Flood Risk and Vulnerability
- 7.2 Identify NBS Opportunities
- 7.3 Identify Non-Structural Solutions
- 7.4 Identify Structural Solutions

CHAPTER 8

Evaluate NBS Feasibility

- 8.1 Evaluate Site Conditions
- 8.2 Assess Regulations and Permitting
- 8.3 Perform Benefit-Cost Analysis (BCA)
- 8.4 Prioritize NBS Alternatives

CHAPTERS 9-12

Designing and Building NBS Across Different Contexts

- 9 Design and Build NBS
- 10 Apply Watershed Design and Construction Considerations
- 11 Apply Neighborhood Design and Construction Considerations
- 12 Apply Coastal Design and Construction Considerations

CHAPTER 13

Monitoring, Measuring and Maintaining NBS to Maximize Efficacy

- 13 Operate, Maintain and Adaptively Manage NBS



The feedback loop represents the embodiment of learning and adapting, one of our four guiding principles presented in Chapter 2. It also acknowledges that planning and implementing are not linear processes and may require refinement and iteration before a project can be built.

Promote NBS through Regional Flood Planning

Task 3	Task 4A	Task 4C	Task 5A
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Set goal for NBS implementation • Recommend NBS floodplain management practices 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discuss NBS opportunities with local sponsors • Identify potential FMPs and FMSs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consider potential for co-benefits when selecting FMEs to evaluate • Develop NBS alternatives while performing FMEs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Document project benefits, % NBS by cost • Include ecosystem services, co-benefits in project BCAs
NBS Guidance Manual Resource	NBS Guidance Manual Resource	NBS Guidance Manual Resource	NBS Guidance Manual Resource
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Guiding Principles • Example NBS floodplain management practices • Model Ordinance for NBS 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How to: developing a proactive stakeholder engagement strategy • How to: identify structural and non-structural NBS • Matrix of flood mitigation, environmental, and social benefits by NBS type 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Example alternative prioritization approach • Matrix of site suitability characteristics by NBS type • One Pager by NBS Type 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How to: calculate expected flood damages • Monetary value of ecosystem services • Conceptual BCA for NBS

Key FMP Criteria to Capture for NBS

- Nature-Based Solution (% by cost)
 - *Engineering Judgement*
- Other/Multiple Benefits

Key Takeaways – NBS for Flood Resilience

- Have more co-benefits than mono-functional flood infrastructure
- Should be considered in planning phase, before design begins
- Offer additional funding opportunities

Questions?

Justin Kozak, PhD, CFM | justin.kozak@tnc.org

Shena Providence, PE, CFM | shena.providence@freese.com



Upper Colorado Regional Flood Plan

Discussion and action on selecting FMEs
to be performed by the RFPG for Task 4C
Agenda Item No. 8



May 7, 2026




Task 4C – FMEs to be Performed by the RFPG

- Perform a subset of the potential FMEs identified in Task 4A.
- Identify and evaluate additional FMPs that could be recommended under Task 5A.

Task 4C – FMEs to be Performed by the RFPG

- Howard County
 - City of Big Spring
 - City of Coahoma
- Gaines County
 - City of Seminole
 - City of Seagraves
- City of Snyder (Scurry County)
- Cycle 1 – FMPs Identified
 - San Angelo
 - Andrews
 - Midland
- Other Studies
 - Odessa / Ector County (Ongoing)
 - Deep Creek Section 205

Identified FMPs – Cycle 1




Cycle 2 – Recommended FMEs to be Performed by the RFPG

FMPs Identified Cycle 1 (Andrews)

FMPs Identified Cycle 1 (Midland)

Ongoing Study (Ector County & Odessa)

FMPs Identified Cycle 1 (San Angelo)



Rural Communities FME (Brownfield)

Rural Communities FME (Lamesa)

Rural Communities FME (Bronte, Robert Lee & Coke County)

Rural Communities FME (Ballinger, Miles & Runnels County)

Rural Communities FME (Schleicher County)

Task 4C – Howard County

Sponsor ID	Sponsor	Sponsor Type	Type	FMX ID	Name	Description
09-01-0000000173	Howard	County	FME	09-51-0000000045	Howard County DMP	Create Drainage Master Plan, including evaluation of potential mitigation projects.
09-01-0000000173	Howard	County	FME	09-51-0000000046	Howard County GIS Development	Develop a GIS inventory of stormwater infrastructure
09-01-0000000173	Howard	County	FME	09-51-0000000047	Howard County FEMA Mapping	Update existing FEMA Mapping
09-01-0000000173	Howard	County	FMS	09-53-0000000112	Howard County NFIP Application	Application to join the NFIP
09-01-0000000173	Howard	County	FMS	09-53-0000000113	Howard County DCM	Outreach Program: Discuss Stormwater Criteria Design Manual
09-01-0000003421	Big Spring	Municipality	FME	09-51-0000000134	City of Big Spring Flood Early Warning System	Install a flood early warning system along flood prone waterways for the City of Big Spring.

Task 4C – Gaines County

Sponsor ID	Sponsor	Sponsor Type	Type	FMX ID	Name	Description
09-01-0000000118	Gaines	County	FME	09-51-0000000036	Gaines County DMP	Create Drainage Master Plan, including evaluation of potential mitigation projects.
09-01-0000000118	Gaines	County	FME	09-51-0000000037	Gaines County GIS Development	Develop a GIS inventory of stormwater infrastructure
09-01-0000000118	Gaines	County	FME	09-51-0000000038	Gaines County FEMA Mapping	Create FEMA Mapping in previously unmapped areas
09-01-0000000118	Gaines	County	FMS	09-53-0000000029	Gaines County NFIP Application	Application to join the NFIP.
09-01-0000000118	Gaines	County	FMS	09-53-0000000109	Gaines County DCM	Outreach Program: Discuss Drainage Criteria Manual
09-01-0000003231	Seminole	Municipality	FMS	09-53-0000000024	City of Seminole DCM	Outreach Program: Discuss Stormwater Criteria Design Manual

Task 4C – City of Snyder

Sponsor ID	Sponsor	Sponsor Type	Type	FMX ID	Name	Description
09-01-0000003309	Snyder	Municipality	FME	09-51-0000000015	City of Snyder DMP	Create Drainage Master Plan, including evaluation of potential mitigation projects.
09-01-0000003309	Snyder	Municipality	FME	09-51-0000000133	City of Snyder Flood Early Warning System	Install a flood early warning system along flood prone waterways for the City of Snyder.
09-01-0000003309	Snyder	Municipality	FMS	09-53-0000000025	City of Snyder DCM	Outreach Program: Discuss Stormwater Criteria Design Manual
09-01-0000003309	Snyder	Municipality	FMS	09-53-0000000095	Snyder Flood Insurance Awareness Program	Implement a public awareness program to inform the public about the availability of flood insurance.
00-01-0000000116	Scurry	County	FME	09-51-0000000089	Scurry County FEMA Mapping	Update existing FEMA Mapping
00-01-0000000116	Scurry	County	FME	09-51-0000000090	Scurry County GIS Development	Develop a GIS inventory of stormwater infrastructure
00-01-0000000116	Scurry	County	FME	09-51-0000000091	Scurry County DMP	Create Drainage Master Plan, including evaluation of potential mitigation projects.

Task 4C – City of Snyder

Sponsor ID	Sponsor	Sponsor Type	Type	FMX ID	Name	Description
00-01-0000000116	Scurry	County	FME	09-51-0000000092	Scurry County USACE Flood Study	Comprehensive study of flood risk and reduction alternatives, with the assistance of the USACE.
00-01-0000000116	Scurry	County	FMS	09-53-0000000007	Scurry County Ordinance Update SFHA	Increase freeboard requirements for structures in the SFHA; adopt a "no-rise" in BFE in the 100-year floodplain.
00-01-0000000116	Scurry	County	FMS	09-53-0000000010	Scurry County Stormwater Channel Maintenance Program	Retain and maintain natural vegetation in stormwater channels
00-01-0000000116	Scurry	County	FMS	09-53-0000000016	Scurry County NFIP Application	Application to join NFIP
00-01-0000000116	Scurry	County	FMS	09-53-0000000096	Scurry County New Development Criteria	Require new public buildings to be sited on low risk parcels.
00-01-0000000116	Scurry	County	FMS	09-53-0000000097	Scurry County Flood Awareness Program	Implement education and awareness program utilizing media, social media, bulletins, flyers, etc. to educate citizens of hazards that can threaten the area and mitigation measures to reduce injuries, fatalities, and property damages



Upper Colorado Regional Flood Plan

Technical Consultant Update for Second Cycle

Agenda Item No. 9



May 7, 2026

Task 5B Submittals

- March 26, 2026
 - Ordered list of FMEs
 - Updated FME feature class
 - FME one-pagers
 - Scope of Work (first two FMEs)
 - Community Affirmation Forms
- April 24, 2026
 - Scope of Works (all FMEs)
 - Community Affirmation Forms
- April 28, 2026
 - Response to TWDB comments

Task 5B

FME ID	FME Name	Description	County
09-51-0000000009	City of Lamesa DMP	Create Drainage Master Plan, including evaluation of potential mitigation projects. Develop a GIS inventory of stormwater infrastructure.	Dawson
09-51-0000000086	Schleicher County DMP	Create Drainage Master Plan, including evaluation of potential mitigation projects. Develop a GIS inventory of stormwater infrastructure.	Schleicher
09-51-0000000007	City of Brownfield DMP	Create Drainage Master Plan, including evaluation of potential mitigation projects. Develop a GIS inventory of stormwater infrastructure.	Terry
09-51-0000000069	Runnels County DMP	Create Drainage Master Plan, including evaluation of potential mitigation projects. Develop a GIS inventory of stormwater infrastructure.	Runnels
09-51-0000000154	City of Bronte DMP + GIS Development	Create Drainage Master Plan, including evaluation of potential mitigation projects. Develop a GIS inventory of stormwater infrastructure. Flooding at Washington and Riley and Kickapoo West from under FM 158.	Coke
09-51-0000000156	City of Robert Lee Flooding Solutions + GIS Infrastructure Inventory	Create Drainage Master Plan, including evaluation of potential mitigation projects. Develop a GIS inventory of stormwater infrastructure. 2nd St to Riverside Dr. floods.	Coke
09-51-0000000019	Coke County DMP	Create Drainage Master Plan, including evaluation of potential mitigation projects. Develop a GIS inventory of stormwater infrastructure.	Coke
09-51-0000000108	City of Ballinger DMP	Create Drainage Master Plan, including evaluation of potential mitigation projects. Develop a GIS inventory of stormwater infrastructure. Drainage under commercial area of town is collapsing.	Runnels
09-51-0000000157	City of Miles DMP + GIS Development	Create Drainage Master Plan, including evaluation of potential mitigation projects. Develop a GIS inventory of stormwater infrastructure.	Runnels



Upper Colorado Regional Flood Plan

Agenda Items No. 10, 11, & 12



May 7, 2026

